IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 14261 of 2005

Between:

N. Siva Senani S/o. N. Sadasiva Sastry, R/o. 2-105/2, Kothapet,
Hyderabad-500035

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Vice-Chancellor, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007.
2. The Registrar (Academic), Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issued a writ direction or an order one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring inaction of the respondent in not disposing of my application representation dated 24th of June 2005 for admission into M.A.Sanskrit as illegal, contrary to judicial precedents and principles.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.J.C.FRANCIS

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.DEEPAK BHATTACHARJEE

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents in disposing of the application/representation of the petitioner dated 24.06.2005 for admission into M.A., (Sanskrit) as illegal, arbitrary, and contrary to the judicial precedents and principles.

According to the petitioner, he is an Engineering Graduate and also passed B.A. from Prof.G.Rami Reddy Centre for Distance Education (PGRRCDE) with Sanskrit as second language. He also appeared for the entrance test for admission into M.A., (Sanskrit) in the year 2005 and secured 8th rank. However, he was denied admission on the ground that he did not fulfill the eligibility conditions for admission. Aggrieved by the same, he made a representation on 24.06.2005 seeking admission. However, the respondents have not passed any orders. Hence, he has come up with the present writ petition.

The learned counsel for the petitioner reiterated the contentions that

are made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition.

The learned Standing Counsel, however, referred to the Rules filed along with the writ petition at page-23, that provide the eligibility conditions for admission into the course in question i.e., M.A., (Sanskrit). According to the Rules, the students who possess any graduation with 40% in aggregate of part-II and possess Senior Diploma in Sanskrit with 50% marks are alone eligible and are entitled to get admission apart from obtaining rank in the Common Entrance Examination. Though the petitioner appeared for the Common Entrance Examination and secured 8th rank, he did not possess the basic qualification of Senior Diploma in Sanskrit with 50% marks.

In the absence of fulfilling the required eligibility conditions as prescribed by the University, the petitioner is not entitled to get admission. Therefore, no purpose would be served in directing the respondents to dispose of the application of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. However, it is open to the petitioner to question the Rules prescribing eligibility criteria for admission, if he is so advised.

_____

04.07.2005

VGB

To

1. The Vice-Chancellor, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007.
2. The Registrar (Academic), Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007.
3. 2CD copies.